

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA
ABSTRACT

Tribal Welfare Department - Revision Petition filed by Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu, R/o.Saravanam Village, Kothagudem mandal, Bhadrachalam District against the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam in CMA.No.7/2017, dated 24.05.2021 and the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam in LTR Case No.74/2015/KGM, dated 09.02.2017, District in respect of land under dispute i.e. 0.30 guntas situated in Sy.No.118/1A (now recorded as 118/1A/2) situated in Chunchupalli village and Mandal of Bhadrachalam Kothagudem District – Revision Petition Dismissed - Orders – Issued.

TRIBAL WELFARE (LTR) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No.60

Dated:07.10.2023.

Read the following:-

1. Revision Petition of Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu, R/o.Saravanam Village, Kothagudem Mandal, Bhadrachalam District, dated 20.07.2021.
2. Govt.Memo.No.1009/TW.LTR/2021-1 dated 03.09.2021.
3. Counter and Vakalat filed by the Counsel for Respondents 3 & 4 dated 02.03.2022.
4. Govt.Memo.No.1009/TW.LTR/2021-2,3 & 4 dated 11.04.2022, 27.08.2022 & 02.09.2023.
5. From the Project Officer, ITDA, & Addl.Agent to Govt., Bhadrachalam, letter Rc.No.A3/3504/2021,dated 11.05.2022.
6. Govt. Notice No.1009/TW.LTR/2021, dated 02.09.2023.
7. From the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam, Lr.Rc.No.A/59/2023, dated 06.09.2023.
8. Written arguments submitted by Counsel for the Revision Petitioner, dated 14.09.2023.
9. Written arguments submitted by Counsel for Respondents 3 & 4 dated 14.09.2023.

ORDER:-

In the reference 1st read above, Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu, R/o.Saravanam Village, Kothagudem mandal, Bhadrachalam District has filed Revision Petition before the Government on 22.08.2023 through their Counsel aggrieved by the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam in CMA.No.7/2017, dated 24.05.2021 and the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam in LTR Case No.74/2015/KGM, dated 09.02.2017 - gist of grounds is as under:

- i) Tribunals below ought to have seen that the Respondents 3 & 4 (Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao and Smt Manubothula Sailaja) categorically admit that father of Revision Petitioner was owner of Ac.4.00 gts land that the core of issue that whether the alleged transfer by him is valid or not.
- ii) Tribunals below erred in disallowing the claim against Ac.0.30 guntas much against the interests of the Revision Petitioner instead of the entire extent of Ac.4.00 guntas.
- iii) Tribunals below ought to have seen that once there is no dispute on the ownership over Ac.4.00 by the father of the Revision Petitioner, the Revision Petitioner is entitled by law to succeed to such property and there cannot be any dispute thereon.
- iv) Tribunals below ought to have caused proper enquiry on the point whether the alleged sada sale deeds in respect of Ac.0.30 guntas were in fact sold by father of the Revision Petitioner and if so, whether the same has the force of a proper document and that through such alienation actually vest any right in Respondents 3 & 4.
- v) Tribunals below ought to have seen that whether or not the Revision Petitioner is Lambada, the scope of enquiry would not change and that the tribunals ought to have caused proper enquiry to prove the genuineness of the alleged sada sale deeds by proper evidence acceptable under law.

(Contd...2)

- vi) Tribunals below erred in holding that the alleged transactions are not hit by the amended LT Regulations 1/70 and that the possession of Ac.0.30 guntas by Respondents 3 & 4 is lawful and that the LT Regulation 1/59 does not operate because father of Revision Petitioner is a non-tribal at the time of alleged sada sale deed.

2. In the reference 2nd read above, Government have admitted the above Revision Petition duly rejecting the Stay petition as there are no sufficient grounds and sent the copies of the Revision Petition to all the respondents to file Counters/remarks and requested the Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam and the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam to furnish the parawise remarks and connected case records.

3. In the reference 3rd read above, Sri S.Ramana Reddy & S.Priyanka Advocates, Kothagudem/Hyderabad have filed Vakalat and also submitted Counter on 3.4.2022 on behalf of Respondents 3 and 4 (Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao and Smt Manubothula Sailaja) – gist of which is as under:

- i) The contention of the Revision Petitioner that the Respondents 3 and 4 have illegally occupied the land and trying to construct a house without any right title or interest thereon is absolutely wrong. The parents of the Respondent 3 (Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao) are having agricultural lands to an extent of ac.7.00 situated in Sy.Nos.120, 121/1 in Chunchupalli village which is quite adjacent to this revision schedule property of ac.0.30 gts situated in the same Chunchupalli village (previously and also presently known as Brundavan, a hamlet under Chunchupalli village). The father of the Revision Petitioner was having an extent of ac.4.00 in Sy.No.118/A in Chunchupalli village of the present Chunchupalli Mandal in Bhadrachalam District (erstwhile Khammam District). Out of the said extent of ac.4.00, father of the Revision Petitioner Sri Maloth Balu had sold an extent of 0.30 guntas to Sri Ponugoti Venkat Reddy on 26.11.1963 through an agreement of sale on non-judicial stamp paper of Re.1/- witnessed by Maloth Bhikku and Maddeboina Mallaiah which was in turn sold to the Respondent 3 (to his mother Smt.Bhulaxmi, R-3 being minor) through an agreement of sale dated 8.5.1967 at a sale consideration of Rs.1100/-. During the life time of parents of the Respondent 3, they erected a small house (cattle shed) in the said extent of ac.0.30 guntas for their stay during agricultural operations. The Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli has also assigned H.No.3-9 in the year 1968. The acquisition of revision schedule property and its possession and enjoyment by the parents and Respondent 3 being legally valid, the lower and appellate authorities i.e. Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam in LTR case No.74/2015/KGM and Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam in CMA No.7/2017 have rightly allowed claim of the Respondent-3(Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao).
- ii) The Revision Petitioner illegally trespassed into the revision schedule property of the Respondents 3 and 4 and damaged certain extent of the construction of house by them. Thereafter, the Respondents 3 and 4 lodged a complaint before concerned Police i.e. PS-I Town Kothagudem U/s.427 IPC which was registered as a crime and filed charge sheet. The lower and appellate authorities have gone into record of evidence in detail and upheld validity and possession of the Respondents 3 and 4.
- iii) The Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare) Bhadrachalam in LTR case No.74/2015/KGM, dated 9.2.2017 after detailed examination of the documentary evidences available both on record and produced by both the parties, has dropped the LTR proceedings which were initiated on the complaint of the Revision Petitioner to an extent of ac.0.30 gts against the Respondent 3 (Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao) and

(Contd...3)

allowed acs.3.10 gts in favour of the Revision Petitioner which the Respondent 3 neither objected nor claimed as it does not belong to him. Aggrieved by this, the Revision Petitioner filed an Appeal before the Addl. Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam and the appellate authority has also rightly adjudicated the case and upheld order of the lower court as the Respondent 3 proved his lawful possession over the revision schedule property of ac.0.30 guntas (house site) from out of Ac.4.00 in Sy.No.118/A situated in Chunchupalli village which the Respondent 3 came into possession before enforcement of the Regulation I of 1970.

- iv) The contention of the Revision Petitioner that the tribunals below erred in not framing an issue touching upon validity of the alleged sale deeds pressed into service by the Respondents 3 and 4, is not correct. Both the lower and appellate authorities after detailed scrutiny of the evidentiary material available both on record and also produced by the Respondents 3 and 4, allowed the extent of ac.0.30 guntas in their favour while the remaining extent of ac.3.10 guntas retained in the possession of the Revision Petitioner. The validity of the sale agreement is supported by other corroborating evidence i.e. assignment of House number by the Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli and payment of property tax by them to the Gram Panchayat Chunchupalli. Thus, the validity of the agreements of sale between father of Revision Petitioner and Ponugoti Venkat Reddy and thereafter to the Respondent 3 is rightly found as legal and valid by the lower and appellate authorities.
- v) The Regulation I of 1959 came into force in Telangana Region from 1.12.1963 prohibits transfer of immovable property from Tribals to Non-Tribals. The Lambadas are declared as Scheduled Tribes w.e.f. 27.7.1977 by GO.Ms.No.835, dated 15.12.1977 – therefore, the Lambadas prior to 27.7.1977 are non-tribals only. Thus, the transaction between father of the Revision Petitioner Sri Maloth Balu and Sri Ponugoti Venkat Reddy through an agreement of sale dated 26.11.1963, is valid. The amended Regulation I of 1970 while prohibiting transfer of immovable property from Tribals to Non-Tribals also prohibits transfer of immovable property between non-tribals only w.e.f. 3.2.1970. The sale transaction between non-tribals viz., Sri Ponugoti Venkat Reddy and the Respondent 3 (Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao) by an agreement of sale dated 8.5.1967 is also valid since it was prior to the enforcement of Regulation I of 1970 which came into force from 3.2.1970. Both the agreements of sale dated 26.11.1963 and 8.5.1967 since found due to corroborating evidence, the lower and appellate authorities have rightly upheld claim of the Respondent 3 to the extent of acs.0.30 guntas – however, the remaining extent of acs.3.10 gts held as belonging to the Revision Petitioner only.
- vi) The lower and appellate authorities have rightly adjudicated after examining each and every piece of material evidence available both on record and produced by the Respondents 3 and 4 before them.

4. In reference 5th read above, the Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam has furnished the parawise remarks along with connected case record.

5. In reference 6th read above, Government have issued the notices to the concerned parties to attend the hearing on 14.09.2023, Revision Petitioner and his Counsel Present and submitted written arguments. Counsel for Respondents 3 and 4 present and filed written arguments - gist of which is as follows:

(Contd...4)

Written Arguments filed by Counsel for Revision Petitioner

- i. While reiterating same grounds urged in the Revision Petition, it is further contended that the 4th Respondent is aged 52 years in the year 2021. So is not even born in the year 1967 and this one aspect is sufficient to demonstrate that the said Sada Sale Deed is a fabricated one and does not confer any title in the 4th or 5th Respondent. Both the Tribunals erred in not seeing that the burden of proof that they purchased the said 0.30 guntas is on Respondent No.4 They did not file any original document of title. A xerox copy of alleged sada sale deed cannot stand to scrutiny. The writing is illegible and cramped. This is the only document relied upon by them and that does not convey any meaning as being illegible.
- ii. The 2nd Respondent (the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam) on erroneous consideration of facts disallowed the claim against Ac.0.30 guntas against the interests of the Revision Petitioner instead of the entire extent of Ac.4.00 guntas. The 1st Respondent (the Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam) also upheld the same without independent appreciation of facts.

Written Arguments submitted by Counsel for Respondents 3 and 4:

- i) All the allegations in the revision petition are absolutely false, incorrect and baseless, there is no iota of truth in it and the very petition is not maintainable either at law or on facts on records and hence liable to be dismissed in limine.
- ii) The revision petition property is an extent of ac.0.30 gts only, originally the property situated in Brundavanam village, is situated with Chunchupally Revenue village. The parents of the Respondent No.3 (Sri Manubothula Goverdhan Rao) are having agricultural land in the same village prior to 1970.
- iii) The parents of the respondent No.3 purchased this revision case property from one Ponugoti Venkat Reddy in the year 1967 for the purpose of cattle shed and to keep agricultural tools in the shed. During the lifetime of parents of the respondent No.3 erected a small house for their shelter. The ac.0.30 gts of land is within the village of Brundavanam village surrounding to above said ac.0.30 gts land, residential houses are situated on the same way the respondent No.3 also constructed a house and the Gram Panchayat allotted H.No.3-9.
- iv) The lower authorities i.e. Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam rightly commented everything and dropped the LTR proceedings with regard to this case property. Thereafter, the revision petitioner herein preferred the CMA before the Addl. Agent to Govt. Bhadrachalam. The Addl. Agent to Govt. Bhadrachalam is also confirmed the order passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam.
- v) The Brundavanam village under Chunchupalli village and present Mandal Headquarters are closely situated adjoining and abutting the Kothagudem Municipality in Bhadrachalam District. All the agricultural lands of various pattedars i.e. both tribals and non-tribals have been converted into house-sites and sold away to different people from long back and people who have purchased them have constructed permanent houses for which the Chunchupalli Gram Panchayat has assigned House Numbers and collecting property tax since then and the Electricity Department also provided power supply connections to the house owners.
- vi) In the instant case, both the lower and appellate authorities have thoroughly verified the evidences available both on record and also produced by both the parties. In the first step, the revision petitioner had filed LTR case against the Respondents 3 and 4 for the entire

extent of acs.4.00 though the Respondents 3 and 4 have been contesting only for the extent of acs.0.30 guntas purchased by them from one Ponugoti Venkata Reddy through agreement of sale dated 8.5.1967 who purchased it from father of the Revision Petitioner on 26.11.1963 i.e. much before the advent of Regulation I of 1970 came into force from 3.2.1970 and also at the said time the revision petitioner was non-tribal. Both the authorities i.e. Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam and Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam have rightly adjudicated the matter with the corroborating evidences and arrived at the fact of legitimately acquiring land of acs.0.30 guntas by the Respondents 3 and 4 much before the Regulation I of 1970 came into force i.e. w.e.f. 3.2.1970.

- vii) As the area is under rapid development and due to huge market value of lands in Chunchupalli Mandal, the Revision Petitioner with an ill-motive to grab the land of 0.30 guntas of the Respondents 3 and 4 already sold by his father in the year 1963, foisted false LTR cases before the Special Deputy Collector (TW) and Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam, but at both the places he failed in his attempt as the authorities have rightly rejected his pleas.
- viii) Therefore, in order to create further trouble to the Respondents 3 and 4, the Revision Petitioner has again filed the present Revision Petition before the Government citing the same old stories.
- ix) Copies of relevant documents are submitted herewith for favour of kind perusal and consideration.
- x) Hence, it is prayed the Hon'ble Government to kindly dismiss the Revision Petition in the interest of justice.

6. Along with the written arguments, the Counsel for Respondents 3 and 4 has filed copies of the following documents:-

- i) Colour xerox copy of agreement of sale dated 26.11.1963 executed by Maloth Balu (father of Revision Petitioner) in favour of Ponugoti Venkata Reddy on Re.1/- Non-judicial stamp paper.
- ii) Colour xerox copy of agreement of sale dated 8.5.1967 executed by Ponugoti Venkata Reddy in favour of Manubothula Govardhan Rao (being minor in favour of his mother Smt. Manubothula Bhulakshmi W/o Manubothula Komaraiah) regarding sale of acs.0.30 guntas in Sy.No.118/1 for a consideration of Rs.1100/- (Rupees One thousand one hundred only) – within the following boundaries:

East :	Land of Balu
West :	PWD Road
North:	House of Kallem Rosireddy
South:	House of Katta Ramamurthy
- iii) Pattdar Pass Book No.T27090010353 – Khata No.60029 issued by Tahsildar, Chunchupalli to Sri Manubothula Govardhan Rao (Respondent) S/o Manubothula Komaraiah for the extent of acs.0.30 gts in Sy.No.118/1A/2 in Chunchupalli village.
- iv) Pahani 2017 – Chunchupalli village – extent acs.0.30 grts – Sy.No.118/1A/2 – Pattedar and enjoyer Manubothula Govardhan Rao S/o Manubothula Komaraiah – classification: Krayam.
- v) House Tax Receipt bearing No.69105, dated 25.12.1973 issued by Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli for the period from 1968-69 to 1972-73 for H.No.3-9.
- vi) House Tax Receipt bearing No.59907, dated 16.9.1978 issued by Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli for the period from 1974-75 to 1977-78.
- vii) House Tax Receipt No.2250, dated 25.11.1980 issued by Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli for the period from 1979-80.

(Contd...6)

- viii) House Tax Receipt bearing No.58610, dated 15.10.1987 issued by Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli for the period from 1982-83 to 1986-87.
- ix) House Tax Receipt bearing No.2308, dated 2.8.2022 for the period 2022-2023 issued by Gram Panchayat, Nanda Thanda, Chunchupalli Mandal.
- x) Electricity restoration and consumption charges receipts – Consumer Connection No.00006.
- xi) NALA Order issued by Tahsildar & Joint Sub-Registrar Office, Chunchupally vide Proceedings No.221032258, dated 23.11.2022 in respect of acs.0.30 gts in Sy.No.118/1A/2 to Sri Manubothula Govardhan Rao S/o Komaraiah R/o Chunchupalle (U), Chunchupally, Bhadradi Kothagudem District.
- xii) Aadhar Card No.5226 4807 5610 issued by UIDAI to Sri Manubothula Govardhan Rao S/o Manubothula Komaraiah wherein Date of Birth was recorded as : 04/01/1964.
- xiii) PAN Card No.ABOPM75520 issued by Chief Commissioner of Income Tax, Andhra Pradesh to Sri Manubothula Govardhan Rao S/o Komaraiah wherein his date of birth was recorded as 04.01.1964.
- xiv) Death Certificate bearing No.TSGGDG 58757703, dated 31.8.2023 issued by the Registrar of Births & Deaths, Kothagudem Municipality, Bhadradi Kothagudem District certifying death of Sri Manubothula Govardhan Rao (Mother name: Manubothula Bhulakshmi and father name: Manubothula Komaraiah) on 17.8.2023 at Kothagudem.

7. Perused the records.

8. As per orders of Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare) Palvanha in LTR case No.74/2015/KGM, dated 09.02.2017:

The LTR case No.74/2015/KGM was initiated by the Special Deputy Collector (TW) Bhadrachalam on the petition filed by the Revision Petitioner herein Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu R/o Sarvaram village, Kothagudem Mandal dated 29.10.2015 in respect of land dispute admeasuring acs.4.00 in Sy.No.118/1A situated in Chunchupalli village of Kothagudem Mandal stating that the Respondents viz., Manubothula Govardhan Rao S/o Komaraiah and Smt.Manubothula Sailaja W/o Govardhan Rao both R/o Kothagudem village and Mandal had occupied his land trying to construct a house in it without any rights. After hearing both sides and after perusing documents produced by both parties, the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam had passed orders on 9.2.2017 as detailed hereunder:

Village	Sy.No.	Extent	Classification
Chunchupalli	118/1A	Acs.0.30 gts	Proceedings dropped (in favour of Respondents)
	118/1A	Acs.3.10 gts	*Out of total extent of Acs.4.00 (in favour of the Petitioner)

9. Aggrieved by the above orders of the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam dated 9.2.2017, Sri Maloth Krishna (tribal) i.e. the Revision Petitioner had filed Appeal before the Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam which was numbered as CMA No.7/2017 and dismissed on 24.5.2021 upholding orders of the lower court i.e. Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam dated 9.2.2017. The gist of the orders passed by the Appellate authority is as under:

"The documents filed by the respondent herein have been got verified as shown below:

1. The sale deed dated 26.11.1963 dated 8.05.1967

(Contd...7)

Sri Manubothula Govardhan Rao (1st respondent herein) purchased an extent of Ac.0.30 gts from Ponugoti Venkata Reddy under sada sale deed dated 8.05.1967 who has earlier purchased it from MalothBalu under sada sale deed dated 26.11.1963 who belonged to Lambadi by caste an extent of Ac.1.00 in Sy.No.118/A in Chunchupalli village. The sada sale deed dated 8.05.1967, the nature of property disposed/sold under this sale deed shows the extent Ac.0.30 gts in Sy.No.118/1 covering with residential thatched hut and cattle shed constructed by the seller Ponugoti Venkata Reddy and vacant site.

2. House Tax Receipts

The respondents herein paid the house tax for the house bearing door No.3-9 situated at Brundavanam (Chunchupalli) for the year 1968-69 to 1972-73, 1974-75 to 1977-78, 1979-80 & 1982-83 to 1986-87 vide house tax receipts bearing No.69105 dated 25.12.1973, 59907 dated 16.09.1978, 2250 dated 25.11.1980 and 58610 dated 15.10.1987 respectively issued by the Executive Officer, Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli.

In view of the evidence placed before this court, the respondent proved his lawful possession over the land Ac.0.30 gts (house site) from out of Ac.4.00 in Sy.No.118/1A situated at Chunchupalli Village before the enforcement of the regulation 1/59 as amended by regulation 1/70. The vendor belonged to Scheduled Tribe(Lambada) are included in the Tribes list w.e. from 27.07.1977 by virtue of G.O.Ms.No.835, dated 15.12.1977 and their status was prior to 1977 is non tribals only and the acquisition of the land (house site) is prior to the advent of A.P(S.A) LTR 1/59 r/w reg.1/70.

ORDER:- Lower court order is upheld."

10. Upon perusal of the evidences available on the record of Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam in LTR case No.74/2015/KGM and Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam in CMA No.7/2017, grounds urged in the Revision Petition with written arguments submitted by the Revision Petitioner; counter affidavit and written arguments filed by the Counsel for Respondents 3 and 4 along with certain documentary evidences, it is found that:

- i) The original of sale agreement dated 26.11.1963 on Non-Judicial Stamp paper worth Re.1/- executed by father of the Revision Petitioner Sri M.Balu S/o Nanda to Sri Ponugoti Venkata Reddy in respect of acs.0.30gts has been produced during hearing on 14.9.2023. Since it is a very old document and written with blue ink, the contents are found faded at some places. On the back side of the document, Stamp serial No. is 2421, date of sale of the stamp paper to Sri Maloth Balu i.e. father of Revision Petitioner is 26.11.1963. Thus, it is not a fabricated one and actually executed before the advent of Regulation I of 1970 i.e. on 26.11.1963. Both the lower and appellate authorities have examined this document and did not find anything adverse.
- ii) The original sale agreement dated 8.5.1967 has been produced during hearing on 14.9.2023 wherein it was found that it was executed on 8.5.1967 by Sri Ponugoti Venkata Reddy in favour of Respondent No.3 i.e. Manubothula Govardhan Rao (being minor) in favour of his mother Smt.Manubothula Bhulakshmi W/o Manubothula Komaraiah for a sale consideration of Rs.1100/-. The boundaries in the sale agreement dated 26.11.1963 and 8.5.1967 are the same. Both the lower and appellate authorities have also examined this document carefully and rightly arrived at the fact the transaction took place on the said date i.e. 8.5.1967 between Ponugoti Venkata Reddy and Respondent No.3.

(Contd...8)

Further, as alleged by the Counsel for Revision Petitioner that the 4th Respondent is 52 years old in the year 2021, so is not even born in the year 1967 - therefore, the document is a fabricated one, is not at all correct. In fact 4th Respondent is wife of 3rd Respondent i.e. Manubothula Govardhan Rao on whose name the document was executed on 8.5.1967 (being minor on the name of his mother Bhulakshmi). The Date of Birth of the 3rd Respondent is 4.1.1964 - so he was born before the date of execution of the sale agreement dated 8.5.1967.

The lower and appellate authorities have also gone into detailed examination of this aspect and rightly arrived at the correctness of the document dated 8.5.1967 with the corroborative documentary evidence of House Tax collected by the Gram Panchayat, Chunchupalli from the year 1968 onwards from the 3rd Respondent.

- ii) In view of the above findings, there are no merits in the Revision Petition filed by the Petitioner Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu. Both the lower authorities i.e. Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam and Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam have rightly adjudicated the matter and passed orders in LTR case No.74/2015/KGM, dated 9.2.2017 and CMA No.7/2017, dated 24.5.2021.

11. Government, after careful examination of the matter do not find any valid reason to interfere with the orders passed by the lower and appellate authorities i.e. Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam and Additional Agent to Government, Bhadrachalam in LTR case No.74/2015/KGM, dated 9.2.2017 and CMA No.7/2017, dated 24.5.2021 respectively, and accordingly upheld them duly DISMISSING the Revision Petition filed by Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu R/o Sarvaram of Bhadrachalam District in respect of land under dispute i.e. 0.30 guntas situated in Sy.No.118/1A (now recorded as 118/1A/2) situated in Chunchupalli village and Mandal of Bhadrachalam District.

12. The Additional Agent to Government & Project Officer, ITDA, Bhadrachalam Bhadrachalam District shall take necessary further action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

Dr.CHRISTINA Z.CHONGTHU,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Additional Agent to Government & Project Officer, ITDA, Bhadrachalam
Bhadrachalam District (By RPAD)

The Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Bhadrachalam,
Bhadrachalam District (By RPAD)

Sri Maloth Krishna S/o Balu, R/o.Saravaram Village,
Kothagudem Mandal, Bhadrachalam District. (By RPAD)

LR's of late Manubothula Govardhan Rao, S/o. Komaraiah,
R/o. H.No. 4-6-30, Bhanjara Mandir Area, Kothagudem Town & Mandal
Bhadrachalam District (By RPAD)

Smt. Manubothula Sailaja, W/o. Govardhan Rao, R/o. H.No. 4-6-30,
Bhanjara Mandir Area, Kothagudem Town & Mandal
Bhadrachalam District (By RPAD)

Copy to:-

The District Collector, Bhadrachalam District

Sri N.V.Anantha Krishna, Advocate

Plot No.80, Sri Sai nagar, Road No.4, Opp.Sri Saibaba Temple,
Nagole Post, Hyderabad - 500068. (By RPAD)

(Counsel for the petitioner)

(Contd...9)

Sri S.Ramana Reddy, Advocate

H.No. 5-3-57/1, NK Nagar, Kothagudem,
Bhadradi Kothagudem district. (By RPAD)
(Counsel for the Respondents No.3&4)

The Tahsildar, Chunchupally (M), Khammam District (By RPAD)
(With a direction to serve the copy of GO to concerned parties).

The P.S to Hon'ble Minister (STW)

The P.A to Secretary (TW)

The P.A to Special Secretary (TW).

SC/SF.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER.